

SCORING RUBRIC

Defense Community Economic Development Fund

RFA Release Date: February 16, 2021 Application Submission Deadline: April 16, 2021

The Technical College System of Georgia's Office of Workforce Development will utilize this rubric to score all complete applications (requires completed Application Form and Budget Form) from eligible applicants (see Request for Application Section V). All applications receiving 70 of 100 points will be awarded grant funding, providing final approval by the Governor's Defense Initiative. In the event there is inadequate funding for all passing applications, funding requests may be partially funded on a pro rata basis.





Rubric:

Effectiveness								
Excellent (40 Points)	Good (30 Points)	Average (20 Points)	Poor (10 Points)					
All of the proposed grant activities strongly aligned with the purpose of the grant. Chosen activities provide services that directly assist transitioning military member to enter the civilian workforce, and/or focuses on the employment of military spouses.	Most of the proposed grant activities are aligned with the purpose of grant and will directly or indirectly assist military personnel to effectively transition into the civilian workforce, and/or the employment of military spouses.	Some of the proposed grant activities are aligned with the purpose of grant and will serve military personnel to effectively transition into the civilian workforce, and/or the employment of military spouses.	The proposed grant activities do not clearly align with the purpose of the grant. The grant activities do not clearly explain how they will assist transitioning military members to enter the civilian workforce, and/or focuses on the employment of military spouses.					
	Commun	lity Impact Level						
Excellent (15 Points)	Good (10 Points)	Average (5 points)	Poor (3 Points)					
Proposed grant activities clearly layout a path to substantially impact at least one hundred military members and/or their spouses by establishing and/or expanding training, education, and employment opportunities for military personnel and spouses.	Proposed grant activities show (directly or indirectly) how they will impact military personnel and/or their spouses by establishing and/or expanding training, education and employment opportunities for military personnel and spouses.	Proposed grant activities create and/or expand opportunities for the military community. However, it is not clear how the proposed activities will positively impact the military community.	Proposed grant activities are not projected to create and/or expand opportunities for the military personnel or the community.					
		y Partner Support						
Excellent (15 Points)	Good (10 Points)	Average (5 Points)	Poor (3 Points)					
Grant proposal reflects buy-in of key community partners. The partnerships mentioned in the grant have a clear purpose and clear responsibilities. Evidenced by having formal and/or informal partnership agreements with	Grant proposal reflects buy-in of key community partners. Evidenced by a clear list of responsibilities for all of the partners mentioned in the grant	Grant proposal reflects evidence of buy-in of some community partners. Some responsibilities of partners are outlined.	Grant proposal does not mention community partners or does not explain how the community partners will support the veteran community.					





specific services (in kind or paid services) for **all of the partners**. **Military Installation Enrichment Excellent (20 Points)** Good (15 Points) Average (10 Points) Poor (5 Points) Proposed arant Proposed arant Proposed grant activities Proposed arant activities demonstrate activities demonstrate activities do not indicate some community how they will further how they will further indicate community enrichment by proposing the relationship the relationship enrichment or increase enhancement or increase between the military between the military of programs designed of programs designed to community and the community and the to promote: promote: military installation by military installation by having proposed having proposed An increasing of An Increase of future activities that focus activities that focus future job on two or more of the on one of the job opportunities for opportunities for following areas: following areas: service service Increasing future Increasing future members/spouses members/spouses job opportunities job opportunities inside of the military inside of the military for service for service installation and/or the installation and/or members/spouses members/spouses surrounding community; the surrounding inside of the inside of the Or, community; military military The creation of new Or, installation installation partnerships between The creating of new and/or the and/or the local workforce partnerships surrounding surrounding organizations and the between local community; community; military installation; workforce Or, Or, Or, organizations and Creating new Creating new the military partnerships partnerships The utilization of grant installation; between local between local funds to promote the Or, workforce workforce viability of a military organizations and organizations and installation from a The utilization of the military the military federal review (e.g., grant funds to installation; installation; Assisting in operational promote the Or. Or. readiness to minimize viability of a military Utilizing grant realignment or closure). installation from a Utilizing grant funds to promote federal review (e.g., funds to promote the viability of a Assisting in the viability of a military operational military installation from a readiness to installation from a federal review minimize federal review (e.g., Assisting in realignment or (e.g., Assisting in operational closure). operational readiness to readiness to minimize minimize realignment or realignment or closure). closure).





Quality of Local Match								
Excellent (10 Points)	Good (7 Points)	Average (5 Points)	Poor (3 Points)					
Applicant clearly demonstrates a match greater than 50% of the grant amount requested, with detailed description of contributing funding source(s).	Applicant demonstrates a match of at least 50% of the grant amount requested with description of contributing funding source(s).	Applicant demonstrates a match of at least 50% of the amount requested with some description of contributing funding source(s).	Applicant does not demonstrates a match of at least 50% of the grant amount requested(s).					
Total								

Comments:			